PREMIS Data Dictionary version 1.0

This excerpt from

Data Dictionary for Preservation Metadata: Final Report of the PREMIS Working Group

Contains Section2. It does not include the final report itself or the Examples, both of which are also available as separate excerpts from the full report. For the complete report, see www.oclc.org/research/projects/pmwg/premis-final.pdf

May 2005

PREMIS Preservation Metadata: Implementation Strategies A Working Group Jointly Sponsored By OCLC and RLG

© Copyright 2005 OCLC and RLG

OCLC Online Computer Library Center, Inc. 6565 Frantz Road, Dublin, Ohio, 43017-3395 USA

RLG

2029 Stierlin Court, Suite 100, Mountain View, California, 94043-4684 USA

Reproduction of substantial portions of this publication must contain the OCLC and RLG copyright notice.

Adobe, Photoshop, and Reader are registered trademarks of Adobe Systems Incorporated in the United States and/or other countries. Macromedia, Dreamweaver, Flash, and FreeHand MX are registered trademarks of Macromedia, Inc. in the United States and/or other countries. Microsoft and Windows are registered trademarks of Microsoft Corporation in the United States and/or other countries. Sybase is a trademark of Sybase, Inc or its subsidiaries. Pentium is a registered trademark of Intel Corporation or its subsidiaries in the United States and other countries. QuarkXPress is a trademark of Quark, Inc. and all applicable affiliated companies.

CONTENTS

PREMIS Web Sites and E-mail	vi
Introduction	vii
See separate document	
1. The PREMIS Data Model	1-1
See separate document	
2. The PREMIS Data Dictionary Version 1.0	2-1
Limits to the scope of the Data Dictionary	2-3
Object entity	
Event entity	2-74
Agent entity	2-85
Rights entity	
3. Examples	3-1
See separate document	
4. Special topics	4-1
See separate document	
5. Methodology	5-1
See separate document	
6. Implementation considerations	6-1
See separate document	
7. Glossary	7-1
See separate document	
8. Notes	8-1
See separate document	

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

PREMIS members

Priscilla Caplan, Florida Center for Library Automation, co-chair

Rebecca Guenther, Library of Congress, co-chair

Robin Dale, RLG liaison

Brian Lavoie, OCLC liaison

George Barnum, U.S. Government Printing Office

Charles Blair, University of Chicago

Olaf Brandt, Göttingen State and University Library

Mikki Carpenter, Museum of Modern Art

Adam Farquhar, British Library

David Gewirtz, Yale University

Keith Glavash, MIT/DSpace

Andrea Goethals, Florida Center for Library Automation

Cathy Hartman, University of North Texas

Helen Hodgart, British Library

Nancy Hoebelheinrich, Stanford University

Roger Howard, J. Paul Getty Museum

Sally Hubbard, Getty Research Institute

Mela Kircher, OCLC

John Kunze, California Digital Library

Vicky McCargar, Los Angeles Times

Jerome McDonough, New York University/METS

Evan Owens, Ithaka-Electronic Archiving Initiative

Erin Rhodes, U.S. National Archives and Records Administration

Madi Solomon, Walt Disney Corporation

Angela Spinazze, ATSPIN Consulting

Stefan Strathmann, Göttingen State and University Library

Günter Waibel, RLG

Lisa Weber, U.S. National Archives and Records Administration

Robin Wendler, Harvard University

Hilde van Wijngaarden, National Library of the Netherlands

Andrew Wilson, National Archives of Australia and British Library

Deborah Woodyard-Robinson, British Library and Woodyard-Robinson Holdings Ltd.

Advisory committee

Howard Besser, University of California, Los Angeles

Liz Bishoff, OCLC

Gerard Clifton, National Library of Australia

Gail Hodge, CENDI

Steve Knight, National Library of New Zealand

Maggie Jones, Digital Preservation Coalition

Nancy McGovern, Cornell University

Cliff Morgan, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

John Perkins, CIMI Consortium

Richard Rinehart, University of California, Berkeley

Special thanks

These individuals contributed their expertise to help with special topics and/or comment on drafts:

Stephen Abrams, Harvard University

Reinhard Altenhöner, Die Deutsche Bibliothek

Caroline Arms, Library of Congress

Kevin Bradley, National Library of Australia

Thomas Fischer, Lower Saxony State and University Library, Göttingen

Carl Fleischhauer, Library of Congress

Mahnaz Ghaznavi, Getty Research Institute/InterPARES

Corey Harper, University of Oregon

Lori Lindberg, SLIS Cal State San Jose/InterPARES

Justin Littman, Library of Congress

Sean Martin, British Library

Quyen Nguyen, U.S. National Archives and Records Administration

Tobias Steinke, Die Deutsche Bibliothek

Robert Tansley, Hewlett-Packard

Andrew Waugh, Public Record Office, Victoria

PREMIS WEB SITES AND E-MAIL

PREMIS working group Web site: www.oclc.org/research/projects/pmwg/.

PREMIS maintenance activity Web site: www.loc.gov/standards/premis/.

Please send comments and questions to premis@loc.gov.

2. THE PREMIS DATA DICTIONARY VERSION 1.0

The PREMIS Data Dictionary includes semantic units for Objects, Events, Agents, and Rights. The fifth entity in the model, the Intellectual Entity, is considered out of scope because it is well served by descriptive metadata. The template for each entry includes a place for notes about how to create or use the semantic unit. In some cases the group felt additional information, such as the reason for a semantic unit's definition or issues that arose in the group's deliberations, would be useful; for these details, see "Special Topics," page 4-1.

A semantic component always inherits the applicability of the containing semantic unit. That is, if the containing semantic unit specifies that it is applicable to files but not to representations, each of its semantic components is applicable to files and not to representations. Repeatability and obligation, however, may vary.

Each entry in the Data Dictionary offers these attributes of a semantic unit:

- The name of the semantic unit: Names were devised to be descriptive and unique within the Data Dictionary. Using these names for the exchange of metadata among preservation repositories will aid interoperability. These names need not be used internally within any individual preservation repository.
- **Semantic components:** The semantic components each have their own entries later in the Data Dictionary. A semantic unit that has semantic components does not have any value of its own. Only semantic units at the lowest level have values.
- **Definition:** The meaning of the semantic unit.
- **Rationale:** Why the semantic unit is needed, if this is not self-evident from the definition.
- **Data constraint:** How the value of the semantic unit should be encoded. Some common data constraints are:

Container—The semantic unit is an umbrella for two or more semantic components and has no value of its own.

None—The semantic unit can take any form of value.

Value should be taken from a controlled vocabulary—The preservation repository should establish an authority list of values that are useful and meaningful to the repository. PREMIS does not specify what this authority list should be, and it is assumed that different repositories will use different vocabularies. In general, when data is taken from a controlled vocabulary, both a scheme (the source of the vocabulary) and a value should be recorded.

• **Object category:** Whether the unit applies to a representation, file, or bitstream Object. Semantic units that apply to files also apply to filestreams (see page 1-3).

- **Applicability:** A scope of "applicable" means it applies to that category of Object.
- **Examples:** One or more examples of values the semantic unit may take. Examples are intended to be illustrative.

An example of an actual value is set in normal text. Text in brackets presents a description of the value rather than the value itself. For example, "SHA-1 message digest" reflects the actual value of the semantic unit, while "[SHA-1 message digest]" means the value of the semantic unit is a SHA-1 message digest such as

"7c9b35da4f2ebd436f1cf88e5a39b3a257edf4a22be3c955ac49da2e2107b67a1924419563"

- **Repeatability:** A semantic unit designated as "Repeatable" can take multiple values. It does not mean that a repository must record multiple instances of the semantic unit.
- **Obligation:** Whether a value for the semantic unit is mandatory (if applicable) or optional.

A mandatory semantic unit is something that the preservation repository needs to know, independent of how or whether the repository records it. The repository might not explicitly record a value for the semantic unit if it is known by some other means (e.g., by the repository's business rules). "Mandatory" actually means "mandatory if applicable." For example, an identifier for a bitstream is mandatory only if the repository manages data at the bitstream level. When exchanging PREMIS-conformant metadata with another repository, values for mandatory semantic units must always be provided.

Values for optional semantic units are encouraged but not required.

If a container unit is optional, but a semantic component within that container is mandatory, the semantic component must be supplied if and only if the container unit exists. That is, if a value for any of the optional or mandatory semantic units in the container is supplied, a value for all of the mandatory semantic unites in the container must be supplied.

- **Creation/Maintenance notes:** Notes about how the values for the semantic unit may be obtained and/or updated.
- **Usage notes**: Information about the intended use of the semantic unit, or clarification of the definition.

Limits to the scope of the Data Dictionary

Descriptive metadata: Typically, descriptive metadata is used to describe Intellectual Entities. Nearly all preservation repositories either include descriptive metadata or link to descriptive metadata located outside the repository itself. Such metadata may identify a resource by publication information such as creator and title, or may characterize its intellectual content through classification, subject terms, and so on. Descriptive metadata can be important both for discovery of archived resources and for helping decision makers during preservation planning. However, the Data Dictionary does not focus on descriptive elements for two reasons.

First, descriptive metadata is well served by existing standards. MARC, MODS, the Dublin Core Metadata Element Set, the Content Standard for Digital Geospatial Metadata, the Visual Resources Core Categories, the Encoded Archival Description (EAD), and the Digital Documentation Initiative schemas are only some of the standards that define descriptive metadata elements. The working group did not want to add another set of descriptive elements to an already crowded field. Second, descriptive metadata is often domain specific. For the purposes of preservation it is less crucial that a common set of elements describe satellite telemetry and digital Picassos than that communities of interest be able to capture and exchange information in a form that reflects their materials and interests appropriately.

Agents: PREMIS does not define the characteristics of Agents in any detail. Metadata describing people, organizations, and other entities that can act as Agents has been defined in many existing formats and standards, such as MARC, vCard, MADS, and several other schemes currently under development. As long as a preservation repository can properly identify Agents that have acted upon Objects in its care, additional Agent characteristics will be determined by local requirements; many can be modeled on existing standard metadata element sets.

Rights: PREMIS only defines characteristics of rights and permissions concerned with preservation activities, not those associated with access and/or distribution. The only case of rights covered is that of a specific agent grating a specific permission (act or restrictions) for a specific object.

Technical metadata: Technical metadata describes the physical rather than intellectual characteristics of digital objects. Detailed, format-specific technical metadata is clearly necessary for implementing most preservation strategies, but the group had neither the time nor the expertise to tackle format-specific technical metadata for various types of digital files. Therefore, it restricted the technical metadata included in the Data Dictionary to the semantic units it believed apply to objects in all formats. Further development of technical metadata is left to format experts.

Media or hardware details: The working group did not attempt to define metadata for detailed documentation of media or hardware. For example, PREMIS defines a semantic unit for identifying the medium on which an object is stored. A preservation repository will probably want to know more detailed information about the media employed. If the repository stores data on DVDs, for example, it may need to know the specific technical characteristics of the specific DVD units, such as manufacturer, dye material, and dye thickness. PREMIS leaves the definition of metadata for describing media and hardware characteristics to specialists in these areas.

Business rules: The working group made no attempt to describe the business rules of a repository, although certainly this metadata is essential for preservation within the repository. Business rules codify the application of preservation strategies and document repository policies, services, charges, and roles. Retention periods, disposition, risk assessment, permanence ratings, schedules for media refreshment, and so on are pertinent to objects but are not actual properties of Objects. A single exception was made for the level of preservation treatment to be accorded an object (*preservationLevel*) because this was felt to be critical information for any preservation repository. A more thorough treatment of business rules could be added to the data model by defining a Rules entity similar to Rights.

Object Entity

The Object entity aggregates information about a digital object held by a preservation repository and describes those characteristics relevant to preservation management.

The only mandatory semantic unit that applies to all categories of object (representation, file, and bitstream) is *objectIdentifier*.

Entity types

- Representation: A digital object instantiating or embodying an Intellectual Entity. A representation is the set of stored digital files and structural metadata needed to provide a complete and reasonable rendition of the Intellectual Entity.
- File: A named and ordered sequence of bytes that is known to an operating system.
- Bitstream: Contiguous or non-contiguous data within a file that has meaningful properties for preservation purposes.

Entity properties

- Can be associated with one or more rights statements.
- Can participate in one or more events.
- Can be related to one or more agents.

Entity semantic units

- objectIdentifier
 - objectIdentifierType
 - objectIdentifierValue
- preservationLevel
- objectCategory
- objectCharacteristics
 - compositionLevel
 - fixity
 - messageDigestAlgorithm
 - messageDigest
 - messageDigestOriginator
 - size
 - format
 - formatDesignation
 - formatName
 - formatVersion
 - formatRegistry
 - formatRegistryName
 - formatRegistryKey
 - formatRegistryRole

- significantProperties
- inhibitors
 - inhibitorType
 - inhibitorTarget
 - inhibitorKey
- creatingApplication
 - creatingApplicationName
 - creatingApplicationVersion
 - dateCreatedByApplication
- originalName
- storage
 - contentLocation
 - contentLocationType
 - contentLocationValue
 - storageMedium
- environment
 - environmentCharacteristic
 - environmentPurpose
 - environmentNote
 - dependency
 - dependencyName
 - dependencyIdentifier
 - dependencyIdentifierType
 - dependencyIdentifierValue
 - software
 - swName
 - swVersion
 - swType
 - swOtherInformation
 - swDependency
 - hardware
 - hwName
 - hwType
 - hwOtherInformation
- signatureInformation
 - signatureInformationEncoding
 - signer
 - signatureMethod
 - signatureValue
 - signatureValidationRules
 - signatureProperties
 - keyInformation
 - keyType
 - keyValue

- keyVerificationInformation
- relationship
 - relationshipType
 - relationshipSubType
 - relatedObjectIdentification
 - relatedObjectIdentifierType
 - relatedObjectIdentifierValue
 - relatedObjectSequence
 - relatedEventIdentification
 - relatedEventIdentifierType
 - relatedEventIdentifierValue
 - relatedEventSequence
- linkingEventIdentifier
 - linkingEventIdentifierType
 - linkingEventIdentifierValue
- linkingIntellectualEntityIdentifier
 - linkingIntellectualEntityIdentifierType
 - linkingIntellectualEntityIdentifierValue
- linkingPermissionStatementIdentifier
 - linkingPermissionStatementIdentifierType
 - linkingPermissionStatementIdentifierValue

Semantic unit	objectIdentifier			
Semantic components	objectIdentifierType, objectIdentifierValue			
Definition		A designation used to uniquely identify the object within the preservation repository system in which it is stored.		
Rationale		Each data object held in the preservation repository must have a unique identifier to relate it to descriptive, technical, and other metadata.		
Data constraint	Container			
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream	
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable	
Repeatability	Repeatable	Repeatable	Repeatable	
Obligation	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	
Creation/ Maintenance notes	An identifier may be created by the repository system at the time of ingest, or it may be created or assigned outside of the repository and submitted with an object as metadata. Similarly, identifiers can be automatically or manually generated. Recommended practice is for repositories to use identifiers automatically created by the repository as the primary identifier in order to insure that identifiers are unique and usable by the repository. Externally assigned identifiers can be used as secondary identifiers in order to link an object to information held outside the repository.			
Usage notes	held outside the repository. The objectIdentifier is mandatory if the preservation repository stores and manages objects at that level (i.e., representation, file, bitstream). Identifiers must be unique within the repository. They may be preexisting, and in use in other digital object management systems. Identifiers used to identify a class of objects (e.g., the way an ISBN identifies all books in the same edition) are not acceptable as identifiers in the context of the preservation repository, which must identify the specific object in the repository. A preservation repository needs to know both the type of object identifier and the value. If the value itself contains the identifier type (e.g., "oai:lib.uchicago.edu:1"), the identifier type does not need to be explicitly recorded. Similarly, if the repository uses only one type of identifier, the type can be assumed and does not need to be explicitly recorded.			

Semantic unit	objectIdentifierType		
Semantic components	None		
Definition	A designation of the do unique.	omain within which the o	object identifier is
Rationale	Identifier values cannot be assumed to be unique across domains; the combination of objectIdentifierType and objectIdentifierValue should ensure uniqueness.		
Data constraint	Value should be taken	from a controlled vocab	ulary.
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable
Examples	DLC FCLA Digital Archive DRS	DLC FCLA Digital Archive DRS	DLC FCLA Digital Archive DRS
Repeatability	Not repeatable Not repeatable Not repeatable		
Obligation	Mandatory Mandatory Mandatory		
Usage notes	The type of the identifier may be implicit within the repository as long it is can be explicitly communicated when the digital object is disseminated outside of it.		

Semantic unit	objectIdentifierValue		
Semantic components	None		
Definition	The value of the object	tIdentifier.	
Data constraint	None		
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable
Examples	0000000312	IU2440	IU2440-1
		WAC1943.56	IU2440-2
		AMNH	
	CD269/CD269/70/10 596.PCD		
		CDS-VDEP- 200211119- 24879.734	
	1001/dig/pres/2004- 024		
		http://nrs.harvard.edu /urn- 3:FHCL.Loeb:sa1	
Repeatability	Not repeatable	Not repeatable	Not repeatable
Obligation	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory

Semantic unit	preservationLevel			
Semantic components	None			
Definition	A value indicating the applied to the object.	A value indicating the set of preservation functions expected to be applied to the object.		
Rationale	Some preservation repositories will offer multiple preservation options depending on factors such as the value or uniqueness of the material, the "preservability" of the format, the amount the customer is willing to pay, etc.			
Data constraint	Value should be taken	from a controlled vocab	ulary.	
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream	
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Not applicable	
Examples	bit-level	bit-level bit-level		
	full	full full		
	0	0		
	1	fully supported with		
	2	future migrations		
Repeatability	Not repeatable	Not repeatable		
Obligation	Mandatory Mandatory			
Creation/ Maintenance notes	The preservation level may be assigned by the repository or requested by the depositor and submitted as metadata.			
Usage notes	If the repository offers only a single preservation level, this value does not need to be explicitly recorded within the repository.			

Semantic unit	objectCategory		
Semantic components	None		
Definition	The category of object	to which the metadata a	pplies.
Rationale	Preservation repositories are likely to treat different categories of objects (representations, files, and bitstreams) differently in terms of metadata and data management functions.		
Data constraint	Value should be taken	from a controlled vocab	ulary.
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable
Examples	representation	file	bitstream
Repeatability	Not repeatable Not repeatable Not repeatable		
Obligation	Mandatory Mandatory Mandatory		
Usage notes	Suggested values: representation, file, bitstream. A filestream should be considered a file.		

Semantic unit	objectCharacteristics	i .		
Semantic components	compositionLevel, fixity, size, format, significantProperties, inhibitors			
Definition	Technical properties of most formats.	Technical properties of a file or bitstream that are applicable to all or most formats.		
Rationale	Format-specific properties are outside of the scope of this Data Dictionary. However, there are some important technical properties that apply to objects of any format.			
Data constraint	Container			
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream	
Applicability	Not applicable	Applicable	Applicable	
Repeatability		Repeatable	Repeatable	
Obligation		Mandatory	Mandatory	
Usage notes	The semantic units included in objectCharacteristics should be treated as a set of information that pertains to a single object at a single compositionLevel. Object characteristics may be repeated when an object was created by applying two or more encodings, such as compression and encryption. In this case each repetition of objectCharacteristics would have an incrementally higher compositionLevel. When encryption is applied, the objectCharacteristics block must			
	include an inhibitors semantic unit. A bitstream embedded within a file may have different object characteristics than the file. Where these characteristics are relevant for preservation, they should be recorded. See "Object characteristics and composition level," page 4-4.			

Semantic unit	compositionLevel		
Semantic components	None		
Definition	An indication of whether the object is subject to one or more processes of decoding or unbundling.		
Rationale	etc., or bundled with o	be encoded with compretence files or bitstreams in which these actions are too tests must be recovered.	nto larger packages.
Data constraint	Non-negative integers		
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream
Applicability	Not applicable	Applicable	Applicable
Examples		0 1 2	0 1 2
Repeatability		Not repeatable	Not repeatable
Obligation		Mandatory	Mandatory
Creation/ Maintenance notes	Composition level will generally be supplied by the repository, which should attempt to supply this value automatically. If the object was created by the repository, the creating routine knows the composition level and can supply this metadata. If the object is being ingested by the repository, repository programs will have to attempt to identify the composition level from the object itself or from externally supplied metadata.		
Usage notes	A file or bitstream can be subject to multiple encodings that must be decoded in reverse order (highest to lowest). For example, file A may be compressed to create file B, which is encrypted to create file C. To recreate a copy of the base file A, one would have to unencrypt file C to create file B and then uncompress file B to create file A. A compositionLevel of zero indicates that the object is a base object and not subject to further decoding, while a level of 1 or higher indicates that one or more decodings must be applied. Numbering goes lowest to highest (first encoded = 0). 0 is base		
	object; 1-n are subsequent encodings.		
		there is only one compos	
	a package file object (e objects are <i>not</i> compos	ects are bundled togethe e.g., a ZIP file), the indiv sition levels of the packa eparate objects, each wit	idual filestream ge file object. They

composition levels. For example, two encrypted files zipped together and stored in an archive as one file object would be described as three separate objects, each with its own associated metadata. The storage location of the two inner objects would point to the ZIP file, but the ZIP file itself would have only a single composition level (of zero) whose format would be "zip." See "Object characteristics and composition level," page 4-4.

Semantic unit	fixity		
Semantic components	messageDigestAlgorithm, messageDigest, messageDigestOriginator		
Definition	Information used to verify whether an object has been altered in an undocumented or unauthorized way.		
Data constraint	Container		
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream
Applicability	Not applicable (see usage note)	Applicable	Applicable (see usage note)
Repeatability		Repeatable	Repeatable
Obligation		Optional	Optional
Creation/ Maintenance notes	Automatically calcula	ted and recorded by repo	ository.
Usage notes	To perform a fixity check, a message digest calculated at some earlier time is compared with a message digest calculated at a later time. If the digests are the same, the object was not altered in the interim. Recommended practice is to use two or more message digests calculated by different algorithms. The act of performing a fixity check and the date it occurred would be recorded as an Event. The result of the check would be recorded as the eventOutcome. Therefore, only the messageDigestAlgorithm and messageDigest need to be recorded as objectCharacteristics for future comparison. Representation level: It could be argued that if a representation consists of a single file, or if all the files comprised by a representation are combined (e.g., zipped) into a single file, then a fixity check could be performed on the representation. However, in both cases the fixity check is actually being performed on a file,		
	which in this case happens to be coincidental with a representation. Bitstream level: Message digests can be computed for bitstreams although they are not as common as with files. For example, the JPX format, which is a JPEG2000 format, supports the inclusion of MD5 or SHA-1 message digests in internal metadata that was calculated on any range of bytes of the file. See "Fixity, integrity, authenticity," page 4-5.		

Semantic unit	messageDigestAlgorithm			
Semantic components	None			
Definition	The specific algorithm digital object.	The specific algorithm used to construct the message digest for the digital object.		
Data constraint	Value should be taken	from a controlled vocab	ulary.	
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream	
Applicability	Not applicable	Applicable	Applicable	
Examples		MD5		
		Adler-32		
		HAVAL		
		SHA-1		
		SHA-256		
		SHA-384		
		SHA-512		
		TIGER		
		WHIRLPOOL		
Repeatability		Not repeatable	Not repeatable	
Obligation		Mandatory	Mandatory	

Semantic unit	messageDigest		
Semantic components	None		
Definition	The output of the mess	age digest algorithm.	
Rationale	This must be stored so	that it can be compared	in future fixity checks.
Data constraint	None		
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream
Applicability	Not applicable	Applicable	Applicable
Examples	7c9b35da4f2ebd436f 1cf88e5a39b3a257ed f4a22be3c955ac49da 2e2107b67a1924419 563		
Repeatability	Not repeatable Not repeatable		
Obligation		Mandatory	Mandatory

Semantic unit	messageDigestOrigir	nator		
Semantic components	None			
Definition	The agent that created the original message digest that is compared in a fixity check.			
Rationale	A preservation repository may ingest files that have had message digests calculated by the submitter; checking these ensures that the file as received is the same as the file as sent. The repository may also ingest files that do not have message digests, and so must calculate the initial value upon ingest. It can be useful to know who calculated the initial value of the message digest.			
Data constraint	None			
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream	
Applicability	Not applicable	Applicable	Applicable	
Examples		DRS A0000978		
Repeatability		Not repeatable	Not repeatable	
Obligation	Optional Optional			
Creation/ Maintenance notes	If the calculation of the initial message digest is treated by the repository as an Event, this information could be obtained from an Event record.			
Usage notes	representing the agent	The originator of the message digest could be represented by a string representing the agent (e.g., "DRS" referring to the archive itself) or a pointer to an agent description (e.g., "A0000987" taken here to be an		

Semantic unit	size				
Semantic components	None				
Definition	The size in bytes of the	e file or bitstream stored	in the repository.		
Rationale	Size is useful for ensuring the correct number of bytes from storage have been retrieved and that an application has enough room to move or process files. It might also be used when billing for storage.				
Data constraint	Integer				
Object category	Representation	Representation File Bitstream			
Applicability	Not applicable	Not applicable Applicable Applicable			
Examples	2038937				
Repeatability		Not repeatable	Not repeatable		
Obligation		Optional	Optional		
Creation/ Maintenance notes	Automatically obtained by the repository.				
Usage notes	Defining this semantic unit as size in bytes makes it unnecessary to record a unit of measurement. However, for the purpose of data exchange the unit of measurement should be stated or understood by both partners.				

Semantic unit	format				
Semantic components	formatDesignation, for	formatDesignation, formatRegistry			
Definition		rmat of a file or bitstrear information according to	l l		
Rationale	Many preservation activities depend on detailed knowledge about the format of the digital object. An accurate identification of format is essential. The identification provided, whether by name or pointer into a format registry, should be sufficient to associate the object with more detailed format information.				
Data constraint	Container				
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream		
Applicability	Not applicable	Applicable	Applicable		
Repeatability		Not repeatable Not repeatable			
Obligation		Mandatory	Mandatory		
Creation/ Maintenance notes	The format of a file or bitstream should be ascertained by the repository on ingest. Even if this information is provided by the submitter, directly in metadata or indirectly via the file name extension, recommended practice is to independently identify the format by parsing the file when possible. If the format can not be identified at the time of ingest, it is valid to record that the format is unknown, but the repository should subsequently make an effort to identify the format, even if manual intervention is required.				
Usage notes	A bitstream embedded within a file may have different characteristics than the larger file. For example, a bitstream in LaTex format could be embedded within an SGML file, or multiple images using different colorspaces could be embedded within a TIFF file. Format must be recorded for every file. When the bitstream format can be recognized by the repository and the repository might want to treat the bitstream differently from the embedding file for preservation purposes, format can be recorded for embedded bitstreams. Either formatDesignation or formatRegistry should be recorded. Both are optional, but since format (the container) is mandatory, one of these must be used. See "Format information," page 4-1.				

Semantic unit	formatDesignation	formatDesignation		
Semantic components	formatName, formatVersion			
Definition	An identification of the	e format of the object.		
Data constraint	Container			
Object category	Representation File Bitstream			
Applicability	Not applicable Applicable Applicable			
Repeatability		Not repeatable Not repeatable		
Obligation	Optional Optional			
Usage notes	Either formatDesignation or at least one instance of formatRegistry is required. The most specific format (or format profile) should be recorded. A repository (or formats registry) may wish to use multipart format names (e.g., "TIFF_GeoTIFF" or "WAVE_MPEG_BWF") to achieve this specificity.			

Semantic unit	formatName	formatName		
Semantic components	None			
Definition	A designation of the fo	ormat of the file or bitstre	eam.	
Data constraint	Value should be taken	from a controlled vocab	ulary	
Object category	Representation	Representation File Bitstream		
Applicability	Not applicable	Applicable	Applicable	
Examples	Text/sgml LaTex			
	image/tiff/geotiff			
	Adobe PDF			
		DES		
	PGP			
	base64			
Repeatability	Not repeatable Not repeatable			
Obligation		Mandatory	Mandatory	

Semantic unit	formatVersion			
Semantic components	None			
Definition	The version of the form	nat named in formatNan	ne.	
Rationale		Many authority lists of format names are not granular enough to indicate version, for example, MIME Media types.		
Data constraint	None			
Object category	Representation	Representation File Bitstream		
Applicability	Not applicable	Not applicable Applicable Applicable		
Examples	6.0			
		2003		
Repeatability	Not repeatable Not repeatable			
Obligation	Optional Optional			
Usage notes	If the format is versioned, formatVersion should be recorded. It can be either a numeric or chronological designation.			

Semantic unit	formatRegistry			
Semantic components	formatRegistryName, formatRegistryKey, formatRegistryRole			
Definition	_	Identifies and/or gives further information about the format by reference to an entry in a format registry.		
Rationale	If central format registries are available to the preservation repository, they may provide an excellent way of referencing detailed format information.			
Data constraint	Container			
Object category	Representation	Representation File Bitstream		
Applicability	Not applicable	Applicable	Applicable	
Repeatability		Repeatable	Repeatable	
Obligation		Optional	Optional	
Usage notes	Either formatDesignation or at least one instance of formatRegistry is required.			
	The PREMIS working group assumed that a number of format registries will be developed and maintained to support digital preservation efforts. The proposal for a Global Digital Format Registry (GDFR), for example, would create a network-accessible registry designed to store detailed specifications on formats and profiles.			

Semantic unit	formatRegistryName	formatRegistryName		
Semantic components	None			
Definition	A designation identify	ing the referenced forma	t registry.	
Data constraint	None			
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream	
Applicability	Not applicable	Applicable	Applicable	
Examples		FRED: A format registry demonstration, release 0.07 http://tom.library.upe nn.edu/cgi-bin/fred PRONOM	FRED: A format registry demonstration, release 0.07	
Repeatability	Not repeatable Not repeatable			
Obligation	Mandatory Mandatory			
Usage notes	This can be a formal na	This can be a formal name, internally used name, or URI.		

Semantic unit	formatRegistryKey			
Semantic components	None			
Definition	The unique key used to reference an entry for this format in a format registry.			
Data constraint	None			
Object category	Representation	Representation File Bitstream		
Applicability	Not applicable	Applicable	Applicable	
Examples	info:dgfr/fred/f/tiff TIFF/6.0			
Repeatability	Not repeatable Not repeatable			
Obligation		Mandatory	Mandatory	

Semantic unit	formatRegistryRole		
Semantic components	None		
Definition	The purpose or expecte	ed use of the registry.	
Rationale	The same format may be defined in different registries for different purposes. For example, one registry may give detailed format specifications while another has profile information. If multiple registries are recorded, this semantic unit can be used to distinguish among them.		
Data constraint	Value should be taken	from a controlled vocab	ulary.
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream
Applicability	Not applicable	Applicable	Applicable
Examples	Specification Validation profile		
Repeatability		Not repeatable	Not repeatable
Obligation		Optional	Optional

Semantic unit	significantProperties			
Semantic components	None	None		
Definition	Characteristics of a particular object subjectively determined to be important to maintain through preservation actions.			
Rationale		ame technical properties ald be preserved for futu		
Data constraint	None			
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream	
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable	
Examples	[for a Web page containing animation that is not considered essential] Content only.	[for a PDF with embedded links that are not considered essential] Content only.	[for a PDF with an embedded graph, where the lines' color determines the lines' meaning] Color.	
Repeatability	Repeatable	Repeatable	Repeatable	
Obligation	Optional	Optional	Optional	
Creation/ Maintenance notes	Significant properties may pertain to all objects of a certain class; for example, the repository can decide that for all PDF files, only the content need be preserved. In other cases, for example, for media art, the significant properties may be unique to each individual object. Where values are unique, they must be supplied by the submitter or provided by the curatorial staff of the repository.			
Usage notes	Significant properties may be objective technical characteristics subjectively considered important, or subjectively determined characteristics. For example, a PDF may contain links that are not considered important and JavaScript that is considered important. Or future migrations of a TIFF image may require optimization for line clarity or for color; the option chosen would depend upon a curatorial judgment of the significant properties of the image. Listing significant properties implies the repository plans to preserve these properties in emulation or through migrations. It also implies the repository would note when preservation results in modification of significant properties. More experience with digital preservation is			
	needed to determine the best ways of representing this information. One possible way involves the use of Object and Event information: Object A has significant properties volume and timing, which are recorded as significantProperties of A. In migrated version B, the timing is modified, which is noted in the eventOutcome of the migration Event. Only volume is listed as a significant property of B.			

Semantic unit	inhibitors	inhibitors		
Semantic components	inhibitorType, inhibitorTarget, inhibitorKey			
Definition	Features of the object i	ntended to inhibit access	s, use, or migration.	
Rationale	Format information may indicate whether a file is encrypted, but the nature of the encryption also must be recorded, as well as the access key.			
Data constraint	Container			
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream	
Applicability	Not applicable	Applicable	Applicable	
Repeatability		Repeatable	Repeatable	
Obligation		Optional	Optional	
Creation/ Maintenance notes	Inhibitors are more likely to be present on an object ingested by the repository than applied by the repository itself. It is often not possible to tell that a file has been encrypted by parsing it; the file may appear to be ASCII text. Therefore, information about inhibitors should be supplied as metadata with submitted objects when possible.			
Usage notes	Some file formats allow encryption for embedded bitstreams.			
	Some file formats such as PDF use passwords to control access to content or specific functions. Although this is actually implemented at the bitstream level, for preservation purposes it is effectively managed at the file level, that is, passwords would not be recorded for individually addressable bitstreams.			
		nibitor keys, more granul ormation is identical to k those semantic units.		

Semantic unit	inhibitorType				
Semantic components	None				
Definition	The inhibitor method e	employed.			
Data constraint	Value should be taken	from a controlled vocab	ulary.		
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream		
Applicability	Not applicable	Not applicable Applicable Applicable			
Examples	DES				
	PGP				
	Blowfish				
		Password protection			
Repeatability		Not repeatable Not repeatable			
Obligation	Mandatory Mandatory				
Usage notes	Common inhibitors are encryption and password protection. When encryption is used the type of encryption should be specifically indicated, that is, record "DES", not "encryption".				

Semantic unit	inhibitorTarget			
Semantic components	None			
Definition	The content or function protected by the inhibitor.			
Data constraint	Values should be taken from a controlled vocabulary.			
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream	
Applicability	Not applicable	Applicable	Applicable	
Examples		All content		
		Function: Play		
		Function: Print		
Repeatability		Repeatable	Repeatable	
Obligation		Optional	Optional	
Usage notes	If not supplied, assume that the target is the content of the object.			

Semantic unit	inhibitorKey			
Semantic components	None			
Definition	The decryption key or password.			
Data constraint	None			
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream	
Applicability	Not applicable	Applicable	Applicable	
Examples		[DES decryption key]		
Repeatability		Not repeatable	Not repeatable	
Obligation		Optional	Optional	
Usage notes	The key should be provided if known. However, it is not advisable to actually store the inhibitorKey in plaintext in an unsecure database.			

Semantic unit	creatingApplication		
Semantic components	creatingApplicationName, creatingApplicationVersion, dateCreatedByApplication		
Definition	Information about the	application that created t	the object.
Rationale	Information about the creating application, including the version of the application and the date the file was created, can be useful for problem solving purposes. For example, it is not uncommon for certain versions of software to be known for causing conversion errors or introducing artifacts.		
Data constraint	Container		
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable
Repeatability	Repeatable	Repeatable	Repeatable
Obligation	Optional	Optional	Optional
Creation/ Maintenance notes	If the object was created by the repository, assignment of creating application information should be straightforward. If the object was created outside the repository, it is possible this information could be supplied by the depositor. It might also be extracted from the file itself; the name of the creating application is often embedded within the file.		
Usage notes	This semantic unit applies to both objects created external to the repository and subsequently ingested, and to objects created by the repository, for example, through migration events. The creating Application container is repeatable if more than one application processed the object in turn. For example, a file could be created by Microsoft Word and later turned into a PDF using Adobe Acrobat. Details of both the Word and Acrobat applications may be recorded. However, if both files are stored in the repository, each file should be completely described as an Object entity and linked by using relationship information with a relationship Type "derivation." It may also be repeated to record the creating application before the object was ingested as well as the creating application used as part of the ingest process. For example, an HTML file was created pre-ingest using Dreamweaver, and the Web crawler Heritrix then captured a snapshot of the files as part of the ingest. The amount of information needed for creating Application given here is minimal. For more granularity, semantic units using the same model as under environment may be used. Rather than having each repository record this locally, it would be preferable to have a registry		

Semantic unit	creatingApplicationName			
Semantic components	None			
Definition	A designation for the name of the software program that created the object.			
Data constraint	None			
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream	
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable	
Examples	Flash MX	MSWord		
Repeatability	Not repeatable	Not repeatable	Not repeatable	
Obligation	Optional Optional Optional			
Usage notes	The creating Application is the application that created the object in its current format, not the application that created the copy written to storage. For example, if a document is created by Microsoft Word and subsequently copied to archive storage by a repository's Ingest program, the creating Application is Word, not the Ingest program.			

Semantic unit	creatingApplicationVersion			
Semantic components	None			
Definition	The version of the soft	ware program that create	ed the object.	
Data constraint	None			
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream	
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable Applicable Applicable		
Examples	2000 1.4			
Repeatability	Not repeatable Not repeatable Not repeatable			
Obligation	Optional	Optional	Optional	

Semantic unit	dateCreatedByApplication			
Semantic components	None			
Definition	The actual or approxim	nate date and time the ob	eject was created.	
Data constraint	Value should be forma	atted according to ISO 86	501.	
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream	
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable	
Examples	2000-12-01 20030223151047.0			
Repeatability	Not repeatable	Not repeatable	Not repeatable	
Obligation	Optional Optional Optional			
Usage notes	Use the most precise date available. This is the date the object was created by the creating application, not the date any copy was made externally or by the repository. For example, if a file is created by Microsoft Word in 2001 and two copies are made in 2003, the dateCreatedByApplication of all three files is 2001. The date a file is written to storage can be recorded as an Event. If the object itself contains internal creation and modification dates, the modification date should be used as dateCreatedByApplication. If the application is a Web harvester capturing an object at a point of time, use for date captured.			

Semantic unit	originalName		
Semantic components	None		
Definition	The name of the object before any renaming by	as submitted to or harvey the repository.	ested by the repository,
Rationale	The name used within the preservation repository may not be known outside of the repository. A depositor might need to request a file by its original name. Also, the repository may need to reconstruct internal links for dissemination.		
Data constraint	None		
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream
Applicability	Not applicable	Applicable	Not applicable
Examples		N419.pdf	
Repeatability		Not repeatable	
Obligation		Optional	
Creation/ Maintenance notes	This value would always be supplied to the repository by the submitter or harvesting application. How much of the filepath to preserve would be up to the repository.		
Usage notes		file as designated in the SIP). The file may have	

Semantic unit	storage			
Semantic components	contentLocation, storageMedium			
Definition	Information about how system.	Information about how and where a file is stored in the storage system.		
Rationale	It is necessary for a repthe storageMedium.	It is necessary for a repository to associate the contentLocation with the storageMedium.		
Data constraint	Container			
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream	
Applicability	Not applicable	Applicable	Applicable	
Repeatability		Repeatable	Repeatable	
Obligation		Mandatory	Mandatory	
Usage notes	Normally there would be a single storage location and medium for an object, because an object in another location would be considered a different object. The storage composite should be repeated if there are two or more copies that are identical bit-wise and managed as a unit except for the medium on which they are stored. They must have a single objectIdentifier and be managed as a single object by the repository.			

Semantic unit	contentLocation				
Semantic components	contentLocationType, contentLocationValue				
Definition	Information needed to retrieve a file from the storage system, or to access a bitstream within a file.				
Data constraint	Container				
Object category	Representation File Bitstream				
Applicability	Not applicable	Not applicable Applicable Applicable			
Repeatability		Not repeatable	Not repeatable		
Obligation	Optional Optional				
Creation/ Maintenance notes	A preservation repository should never refer to content that it does not control. Therefore, the PREMIS working group assumed that the repository will always assign the contentLocation, probably by program.				
Usage notes	If the preservation repository uses the objectIdentifier as a handle for retrieving data, contentLocation is implicit and does not need to be recorded.				

Semantic unit	contentLocationType		
Semantic components	None		
Definition	The means of reference	ing the location of the co	ontent.
Rationale	To understand the meaning of the value it is necessary to know what location scheme is used.		
Data constraint	Value should be taken	from a controlled vocab	ulary.
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream
Applicability	Not applicable	Applicable	Applicable
Examples	URI byte offset		
	hdl		
Repeatability		Not repeatable	Not repeatable
Obligation		Mandatory	Mandatory

Semantic unit	contentLocationValue		
Semantic components	None		
Definition	The reference to the lo	cation of the content.	
Data constraint	None		
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream
Applicability	Not applicable	Applicable	Applicable
Examples		http://wwasearch.loc. gov/107th/20021210 7035/http://house.go v/langevin/ hdl:loc.pnp/cph.3b34 188	64 [offset from start of file]
Repeatability		Not repeatable	Not repeatable
Obligation	Mandatory Mandatory		
Usage notes	This could be a fully qualified path and filename, or the information used by a resolution system (e.g., a handle) or the information used by a storage management system. For a bitstream or filestream, this would probably be the reference point and offset of the starting position of the bitstream.		

Semantic unit	storageMedium			
Semantic components	None			
Definition	The physical medium (tape, hard disk, CD-RO	on which the object is sto DM, DVD).	ored (e.g., magnetic	
Rationale		o know the medium on v v how and when to do m		
Data constraint	Value should be taken	from a controlled vocab	ulary.	
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream	
Applicability	Not applicable	Applicable	Not applicable	
Examples	Magnetic tape Hard disk			
	TSM			
Repeatability		Not Repeatable		
Obligation	Mandatory			
Usage notes	In some cases this can be masked from direct repository management by storage management systems but the underlying assumption is that the repository ultimately is in control and needs to manage for technological obsolescence. In some cases the value may not be the specific medium, but the system that knows the medium, e.g., Tivoli Storage Manager (TSM).			

Semantic unit	environment		
Semantic components	environmentCharacteristic, environmentPurpose, environmentNote, dependency, software, hardware		
Definition	Hardware/software con	mbinations supporting us	se of the object.
Rationale	Environment is the means by which the user renders and interacts with content. Separation of digital content from its environmental context can result in the content becoming unusable.		
Data constraint	Container		
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable
Repeatability	Repeatable	Repeatable	Repeatable
Obligation	Optional	Optional	Optional
Creation/ Maintenance notes	This information may be omitted when the repository is doing only bit-level preservation on the object.		
	Rather than having each repository record this locally, it would be preferable to have a registry of environment information similar to proposed registries of format information.		
	Repositories may choose to design mechanisms for inheritance, so that if the environment required for each file within a representation is identical to the environment recorded for the representation as a whole, it is not necessary to store this information in each file.		
	See "Environment," pa	age 4-2.	

Semantic unit	environmentCharacte	eristic			
Semantic components	None				
Definition	An assessment of the e supports its purpose.	extent to which the descr	ibed environment		
Rationale	If multiple environmer distinguish among them	nts are described, this elem.	ement can help to		
Data constraint	Value should be taken	from a controlled vocab	ulary.		
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream		
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable		
Examples	unspecified minimum	•			
Repeatability	Not repeatable	Not repeatable	Not repeatable		
Obligation	Optional	Optional	Optional		
Creation/ Maintenance notes	This value could be supplied by the submitter or by the repository. If environment software and hardware information is obtained from an environments registry, environmentCharacteristic might also be obtained from the registry. Note however that the criteria for "recommended" may be different for different repositories.				
Usage notes	Suggested values:				
	unspecified = no attem	pt made to provide this	value		
	known to work = the object can be rendered in this environment				
	minimum = the least demanding (in terms of components or resources needed) environment known to work by the repository				
	recommended = an environment preferred for optional rendering				
	If an environment is both "minimum" and "recommended," use "recommended."				
		lies the object is support pository doesn't know it nded.			

Semantic unit	environmentPurpose	1	
Semantic components	None		
Definition	The use(s) supported b	y the specified environn	nent.
Rationale	Different environments can support different uses of objects. For example, the environment needed to edit and modify a file can be quite different than the environment needed to render it.		
Data constraint	Values should be taker	n from a controlled vocal	bulary.
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable
Repeatability	Repeatable	Repeatable	Repeatable
Obligation	Optional	Optional	Optional
Creation/ Maintenance notes	This value would have to be supplied by the agent that provided the hardware and software environment information, which might be the submitter, the repository, or an environments registry.		
Usage notes	A starter list of suggested values: render, edit.		
	•	e expanded. Other value int, and manipulate by p	•

Semantic unit	environmentNote				
Semantic components	None	None			
Definition	Additional information	n about the environment.			
Rationale	There may be a need t for additional explanat	o give a textual descriptition.	on of the environment		
Data constraint	None				
Object category	Representation	Representation File Bitstream			
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable		
Examples		This environment assumes that the PDF will be stored locally and used with a standalone PDF reader.			
Repeatability	Repeatable	Repeatable	Repeatable		
Obligation	Optional	Optional	Optional		
Usage notes	This note could be used to record the context of the environment information. For example, if a file can be rendered through a PC client application or through a browser with a plug-in, this note could be used to identify which situation applies. The note should not be used for a textual description of environment information recorded more rigorously elsewhere.				

Semantic unit	dependency		
Semantic components	dependencyName, dependencyIdentifier		
Definition	Information about a non-software component or associated file needed in order to use or render the representation or file, for example, a schema, a DTD, or an entity file declaration.		
Data constraint	Container		
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable
Repeatability	Repeatable	Repeatable	Repeatable
Obligation	Optional	Optional	Optional
Creation/ Maintenance notes	Recommended practice is for a repository to archive objects on which other objects depend. These may be sent by the submitter of the primary object, or they may in some cases be automatically obtained by the repository. For example, a markup file will often contain links to other objects it requires such as DTDs or XML Schema. If it does, these objects can often be identified by the link and downloaded by the repository.		
Usage notes			

Semantic unit	dependencyName	dependencyName		
Semantic components	None			
Definition	A designation for a component or associated file needed by the representation or file.			
Rationale	It may not be self-evident from the dependencyIdentifier what the name of the object actually is.			
Data constraint	None			
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream	
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable	
Examples	Additional Element Set for Language Corpora			
Repeatability	Repeatable	Repeatable	Repeatable	
Obligation	Optional	Optional	Optional	

Semantic unit	dependencyldentifier				
Semantic components	dependencyIdentifierType, dependencyIdentifierValue				
Definition	A unique designation t	used to identify a depend	ent resource.		
Data constraint	Container				
Object category	Representation	Representation File Bitstream			
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable Applicable Applicable			
Repeatability	Repeatable Repeatable Repeatable				
Obligation	Optional Optional Optional				
Usage notes	The dependencyIdentifier must be unique within the preservation repository, although it might not be globally unique.				

Semantic unit	dependencyldentifier	Туре			
Semantic components	None				
Definition	A designation of the domain in which the identifier of the dependent resource is unique.				
Data constraint	Value should be taken	from a controlled vocab	ulary.		
Object category	Representation File Bitstream				
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable		
Examples		URI			
Repeatability	Not repeatable	Not repeatable Not repeatable Not repeatable			
Obligation	Mandatory Mandatory				
Usage notes	A preservation repository needs to know both the type of object identifier and the value. When the value itself contains the identifier type (e.g., "oai:lib.uchicago.edu:1"), the identifier type does not need to be recorded explicitly. Similarly, if the repository uses only one type of identifier, the type can be assumed and does not need to be recorded explicitly.				

Semantic unit	dependencyldentifierValue		
Semantic components	None		
Definition	The value of the dependencyIdentifier.		
Data constraint	None		
Object category	Representation File Bitstream		
Applicability	Applicable Applicable Applicable		
Examples	http://www.tei- c.org/P4X/DTD/teico rp2.dtd		
Repeatability	Not repeatable Not repeatable Not repeatable		
Obligation	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory

Semantic unit	software			
Semantic components	swName, swVersion, swType, swOtherInformation, swDependency			
Definition	Software required to re	ender or use the object.		
Data constraint	Container			
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream	
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable	
Repeatability	Repeatable Repeatable Repeatable			
Obligation	Optional	Optional	Optional	
Creation/ Maintenance notes	If recording this explicitly, many different software environments may apply; for example, a particular object such as a PDF file may be viewable by several versions of several applications running under several operating systems and operating system versions. Although at least one software environment should be recorded, it is not necessary to record them all and each repository will have to make its own decisions about which software environments to record. Also, what appears to the user as a single rendering program can have			
	many dependencies, including system utilities, runtime libraries, and so on, which each might have their own dependencies in turn.			
	As with environment, metadata may be more efficiently managed in conjunction with a format registry either internal or external to a repository. In the absence of a global mechanism, repositories may be forced to develop their own local "registries" relating format to software environment.			

Semantic unit	swName	swName			
Semantic components	None				
Definition	Manufacturer and title	of the software applicat	ion.		
Data constraint	None				
Object category	Representation	Representation File Bitstream			
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable Applicable Applicable			
Examples	Sybase Adobe Photoshop Adobe Acrobat Reader				
Repeatability	Not repeatable	Not repeatable	Not repeatable		
Obligation	Mandatory Mandatory Mandatory				
Usage notes	Include manufacturer when this helps to identify or disambiguate the product, for example, use "Adobe Photoshop" rather than "Photoshop."				

Semantic unit	swVersion		
Semantic components	None		
Definition	The version or version	s of the software referen	ced in swName.
Data constraint	None		
Object category	Representation File Bitstream		
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable
Examples	>=2.2.0		
	6.0		
		2000	
Repeatability	Not repeatable Repeatable Not repeatable		
Obligation	Optional Optional Optional		
Usage notes	If there is no formal version, the date of issuance can be used.		

Semantic unit	swType	swType		
Semantic components	None			
Definition	Class or category of so	ftware.		
Rationale	Several different layer object.	s of software can be requ	nired to support an	
Data constraint	Value should be taken	from a controlled vocab	ulary.	
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream	
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable	
Repeatability	Not repeatable	Not repeatable	Not repeatable	
Obligation	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	
Usage notes	Mandatory Suggested values: renderer = application that can display/play/execute the format instance, e.g., image viewer, video player, Java virtual machine (when the format instance is a java class file) ancillary = required ancillary software, e.g., run time libraries, browser plug-ins, compression/decompression routines, utilities, operating system emulators, etc. operatingSystem = software that supports application execution, process scheduling, memory management, file systems, etc. driver = software with the primary function of communicating between hardware and the operating system or other software			

Semantic unit	swOtherInformation			
Semantic components	None			
Definition		Additional requirements or instructions related to the software referenced in swName.		
Data constraint	None			
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream	
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable	
Examples		Install acroread (Adobe Acrobat) first; copy nppdf.so (the plug-in) to your Mozilla plug-ins directory, and make sure a copy of (or symlink to) acroread is in your PATH.		
Repeatability	Repeatable	Repeatable	Repeatable	
Obligation	Optional	Optional	Optional	
Usage notes	This could be a reliable persistent identifier or URI pointing to software documentation within or outside the repository.			

Semantic unit	swDependency		
Semantic components	None		
Definition	The name and, if applicable, version of any software component needed by the software referenced in swName in the context of using this object.		
Data constraint	None		
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable
Examples		GNU gcc >= 2.7.2	
Repeatability	Repeatable	Repeatable	Repeatable
Obligation	Optional	Optional	Optional
Usage notes	The value should be constructed in a way that is consistent with the construction of swName and swVersion. This semantic unit identifies the software that is needed by what is recorded in swName, for example, a Perl script that depends on a Perl module. In this case the Perl script is listed in swName, with the module in swDependency within a software container.		

Semantic unit	hardware			
Semantic components	hwName, hwType, hwOtherInformation			
Definition		Hardware components needed by the software referenced in swName or the human user of the referenced software.		
Data constraint	Container			
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream	
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable	
Repeatability	Repeatable Repeatable Repeatable			
Obligation	Optional	Optional	Optional	
Creation/ Maintenance notes	Hardware environment information can be very difficult to provide. Many different hardware environments may apply; there are a huge number of combinations of maker and type of CPU, memory, video drivers, and so on. Although at least one hardware environment should be recorded, it is not necessary to record them all and each repository will have to make its own decisions about which hardware environments to record.			
	Because of the difficulty recording this information comprehensively, it would be optimal if central registries of environment information existed. In many cases the environment of a file object is directly associated with the format, making registry lookup by format feasible. In the absence of a global mechanism, repositories may be forced to develop their own local "registries" relating format to hwEnvironment.			

Semantic unit	hwName	hwName		
Semantic components	None			
Definition	Manufacturer, model,	and version (if applicable	e) of the hardware.	
Data constraint	None			
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream	
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable	
Examples	Intel Pentium III 1 GB DRAM Windows XP- compatible joystick			
Repeatability	Not repeatable	Not repeatable	Not repeatable	
Obligation	Mandatory Mandatory Mandatory			
Usage notes	Include manufacturer when this helps to identify or disambiguate the product. Include version for firmware or other components where that information is pertinent.			

Semantic unit	hwType				
Semantic components	None				
Definition	Class or category of th	e hardware.			
Data constraint	Value should be taken from a controlled vocabulary.				
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream		
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable Applicable Applicable			
Repeatability	Not repeatable Not repeatable Not repeatable				
Obligation	Mandatory Mandatory Mandatory				
Usage notes	Suggested values: processor, memory, input/output device, storage device.				

Semantic unit	hwOtherInformation				
Semantic components	None				
Definition	Additional requirement referenced in hwName	nts or instructions related e.	to the hardware		
Rationale	For hardware, the amount of computing resource needed (such as memory, storage, processor speed, etc.) may need to be documented. In addition, more detailed instructions may be needed to install and/or operate the hardware.				
Data constraint	None				
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream		
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable		
Examples	32MB minimum	32MB minimum			
	Required RAM for Apache is unknown				
Repeatability	Repeatable	Repeatable Repeatable Repeatable			
Obligation	Optional Optional Optional				
Usage notes	This could be an identifier or URI used to point to hardware documentation.				

Semantic unit	signatureInformati	on		
Semantic components	signatureInformationEncoding, signer, signatureMethod, signatureValue, signatureValidationRules, signatureProperties, keyInformation			
Definition		to use a digital signature on nd/or the information con		
Rationale	files on ingest, or m	A repository may have a policy of generating digital signatures for files on ingest, or may have a need to store and later validate incoming digital signatures.		
Data constraint	Container			
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream	
Applicability	Not applicable	Applicable	Applicable	
Repeatability		Repeatable	Repeatable	
Obligation		Optional Optional		
Usage notes	Several of the semantic components of signatureInformation are taken from the W3C's <i>XML-Signature Syntax and Processing</i> ; see www.w3.org/TR/2002/REC-xmldsig-core-20020212/ for more information on the structure and application of these semantic units. (See also the discussion of digital signatures, page 4-6.)			

Semantic unit	signatureInformation	signatureInformationEncoding		
Semantic components	None			
Definition	The encoding used for the values of signatureValue, keyInformation, certificateInformation.			
Rationale	These values cannot be interpreted correctly if the encoding is unknown.			
Data constraint	Value should be taken	from a controlled vocab	ulary.	
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream	
Applicability	Not applicable	Applicable	Applicable	
Examples	Base64			
	Ds:CrytoBinary			
Repeatability		Not repeatable	Not repeatable	
Obligation		Mandatory	Mandatory	

Semantic unit	signer	signer		
Semantic components	None			
Definition	The individual, institution, or authority responsible for generating the signature.			
Rationale	The signer might also be carried in the keyInformation, but it can be accessed more conveniently if recorded here.			
Data constraint	None			
Object category	Representation	Representation File Bitstream		
Applicability	Not applicable	Applicable	Applicable	
Repeatability	Not repeatable Not repeatable			
Obligation	Optional Optional			
Usage notes	If the signer is an Agent known to the repository, an agentIdentifier can be used here.			

Semantic unit	signatureMethod			
Semantic components	None			
Definition	A designation for the signature generation.	A designation for the encryption and hash algorithms used for signature generation.		
Rationale	The same algorithms r	nust be used for signatur	e validation.	
Data constraint	Value should be taken	Value should be taken from a controlled vocabulary.		
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream	
Applicability	Not applicable	Applicable	Applicable	
Examples		DSA-SHA1		
		RSA-SHA1		
Repeatability		Not repeatable	Not repeatable	
Obligation	Mandatory Mandatory			
Usage notes	Recommended practice is to encode the encryption algorithm first, followed by a hyphen, followed by the hash (message digest) algorithm.			

Semantic unit	signatureValue				
Semantic components	None				
Definition	The digital signature; a private key to a messa	a value generated from tl ge digest.	ne application of a		
Data constraint	None				
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream		
Applicability	Not applicable	Applicable	Applicable		
Examples		igus 5Rh J884 qo FR 8 fl V Xd/rbr S D V Gn 40 Capg B 7 qe Qi T +rr0 Nek E Q 6B H h UA8d T 3+B C T B U QI0d B j lm l 9 lw z E N Xv S 8 3 z R E C j z X b MR T Ut V Z i P Z G 2 p q K P n L 2 Y U 3 A 9 6 4 5 U C j T X U + j g F u m v 7 k 7 8 hie A G D z N c i + P Q 9 K R m m //i c T			
Repeatability		Not repeatable	Not repeatable		
Obligation		Mandatory	Mandatory		

Semantic unit	signatureValidationRules		
Semantic components	None		
Definition	The operation to be per	rformed as part of signat	ture validation.
Rationale	The repository should not assume that the procedure for validating any particular key will be known many years in the future without documentation.		
Data constraint	None		
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream
Applicability		Applicable	Applicable
Repeatability		Not repeatable	Not repeatable
Obligation	Mandatory Mandatory		
Usage notes	This may include the canonicalization method used before calculating the message digest, if the object was normalized before signing.		
	This value could also be	be a pointer to archive do	ocumentation.

Semantic unit	signatureProperties			
Semantic components	None			
Definition	Additional information	about the generation of	the signature.	
Data constraint	None			
Object category	Representation File Bitstream			
Applicability	Not applicable Applicable Applicable			
Repeatability	Repeatable Repeatable			
Obligation	Optional Optional			
Usage notes	This may include the date/time of signature generation, the serial number of the cryptographic hardware used, or other information related to the generation of the signature. Repositories will likely want to define a suitably granular structure to signatureProperties.			

Semantic unit	keyInformation			
Semantic components	keyType, keyValue, keyVerificationInformation			
Definition	Information about the signer's public key needed to validate the digital signature.			
Rationale	To validate a digital signature for an object, one first recalculates the message digest for the object, and then uses the public key of the signer to verify that the value of the signature (signature Value) is correct. The repository must therefore have the public key value and some assurance that it truly belongs to the signer.			
Data constraint	Container	Container		
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream	
Applicability	Not applicable Applicable Applicable			
Repeatability	Not repeatable Not repeatable			
Obligation		Optional	Optional	

Semantic unit	keyType			
Semantic components	None			
Definition	The type of key, denot	The type of key, denoted by the algorithm used to generate the key.		
Data constraint	Value should be taken	from a controlled vocab	ulary.	
Object category	Representation File Bitstream			
Applicability	Not applicable	Applicable	Applicable	
Examples	DSA			
		RSA		
	PGP			
	SPKI			
Repeatability	Not repeatable Not repeatable			
Obligation		Mandatory	Mandatory	

Semantic unit	keyValue		
Semantic components	None		
Definition	The value of the signer	r's public key.	
Rationale	The signer's public key might be included in the signer's X509 certificate, if this is recorded under keyVerificationInformation. However, since the key itself is necessary, it is useful to isolate it as a separate and required semantic unit.		
Data constraint	None		
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream
Applicability	Not applicable	Applicable	Applicable
Repeatability		Not repeatable	Not repeatable
Obligation	Mandatory Mandatory		
Usage notes	Different types of key will have different structures and parameters. Recommended practice is to represent key values following the W3C's <i>XML-Signature Syntax and Processing</i> (www.w3.org/TR/2002/REC-xmldsig-core-20020212/).		

Semantic unit	keyVerificationInformation		
Semantic components	None		
Definition	Additional information needed to verify the signer's public key used to validate the digital signature.		
Data constraint	None		
Object category	Representation File Bitstream		
Applicability	Not applicable Applicable Applicable		
Repeatability	Not repeatable Not repeatable		
Obligation	Optional Optional		
Usage notes	This may include a certificate or certificate chain, and/or a revocation list. Repositories will likely want to define a suitably granular structure to keyVerificationInformation.		

Semantic unit	relationship				
Semantic components	relationshipType, relationshipSubType, relatedObjectIdentification, relatedEventIdentification				
Definition	Information about a reliother objects.	lationship between this o	object and one or more		
Rationale	A preservation repository must know how to assemble complex objects from component parts (structural relationships) and rigorously track digital provenance (derivation relationships). Documentation about relationships between different objects is crucial to these purposes.				
Data constraint	Container				
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream		
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable Applicable Applicable			
Repeatability	Repeatable	Repeatable	Repeatable		
Obligation	Optional	Optional	Optional		
Usage notes	Most preservation repositories will want to record all relevant relationships.				
	Many formats for representing structural information may be used instead of the semantic units specified here. This information must be known, and some implementations may know it by using other structures.				
	Structural relationships at the file level are necessary to reconstruct a representation in order to ascertain that the representation is renderable.				
	A record of structural relationships at the representation level may be necessary to render the representation.				
	Structural relationships at the bitstream level can relate bitstreams within a file.				
	_	s at the file and representing digital provenance.			

Semantic unit	relationshipType				
Semantic components	None				
Definition	A high-level categorization	ation of the nature of the	relationship.		
Data constraint	Value should be taken	from a controlled vocab	ulary.		
Object category	Representation	Representation File Bitstream			
Applicability	Applicable Applicable Applicable				
Repeatability	Not repeatable	Not repeatable	Not repeatable		
Obligation	Mandatory Mandatory Mandatory				
Usage notes	Suggested values:	Suggested values:			
	structural = a relations	structural = a relationship between parts of an object			
	derivation = a relationship where one object is the result of a transformation performed on the related object				
	A repository may find types.	it necessary to define ad	ditional relationship		

Semantic unit	relationshipSubType	1	
Semantic components	None		
Definition	A specific characterization of the nature of the relationship documented in relationshipType.		
Data constraint	Value should be taken	from a controlled vocab	ulary.
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable
Repeatability	Not repeatable	Not repeatable	Not repeatable
Obligation	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory
Usage notes	Suggested values:		
	related object (Note th	is directly subordinate i at this is semantically eq preferred by some impl	uivalent to "Has
	is parent of = the object is directly superior in a hierarchy to the related object (Note that this is semantically equivalent to "Has child," which may be preferred by some implementations.		
	has sibling = the object shares a common parent with the related object		
	is part of = the object i	is contained by the relate	ed object
	has part = the object contains the related object		
	source of = the related object is a version of this object created by a transformation		
	has root = for a representation only, the related object is the file that must be processed first in order to render the representation		
	A repository may find it necessary to define more or less granular relationships. For derivation relationships, note that the precise relationship may be indicated by the type of the related event.		
	For relationships between files and representations, use "has part" for the relationship of a representation to a file. Use "is part of" for the relationship of the file to the representation.		
	because it implies that multiple files) requires	root' is applicable only to a compound object (i.e., so that one file be picked to ata for the representation	one made up of up first as its root to

Semantic unit	relatedObjectIdentification				
Semantic components	relatedObjectIdentifierType, relatedObjectIdentifierValue, relatedObjectSequence				
Definition	The identifier and sequ	The identifier and sequential context of the related resource.			
Data constraint	Container				
Object category	Representation File Bitstream				
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable Applicable Applicable			
Repeatability	Repeatable	Repeatable Repeatable Repeatable			
Obligation	Mandatory Mandatory Mandatory				
Usage notes	The related object may or may not be held within the preservation repository. Recommended practice is that objects reside within the repository unless there is a good reason to reference an object outside. Internal and external references should be clear.				

Semantic unit	relatedObjectIdentifierType				
Semantic components	None				
Definition	A designation of the de	omain within which the	identifier is unique.		
Data constraint	Value should be taken	from a controlled vocab	ulary.		
Object category	Representation File Bitstream				
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable Applicable Applicable			
Examples	[see examples for objectIdentifierType] [see examples for objectIdentifierType] [see examples for objectIdentifierType]				
Repeatability	Not repeatable Not repeatable Not repeatable				
Obligation	Mandatory Mandatory Mandatory				
Usage notes	If the related object is held within the preservation repository, this should be the value of that object's objectIdentifierType.				

Semantic unit	relatedObjectIdentifierValue				
Semantic components	None				
Definition	The value of the related	d object identifier.			
Data constraint	None				
Object category	Representation File Bitstream				
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable Applicable Applicable			
Examples	[see examples for objectIdentifierValue] [see examples for objectIdentifierValue] [see examples for objectIdentifierValue]				
Repeatability	Not repeatable Not repeatable Not repeatable				
Obligation	Mandatory Mandatory Mandatory				
Usage notes	If the related object is held within the preservation repository, this should be the value of that object's objectIdentifierValue.				

Semantic unit	relatedObjectSequen	ce		
Semantic components	None			
Definition	The order of the related type of relationship.	The order of the related object relative to other objects with the same type of relationship.		
Rationale	This semantic unit is particularly useful for structural relationships. In order to reconstruct a representation, it may be necessary to know the order of components with sibling or part-whole relationships. For example, to render a page-image book, it is necessary to know the order of files representing pages.			
Data constraint	None			
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream	
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable	
Examples	1 2 3			
Repeatability	Not repeatable	Not repeatable	Not repeatable	
Obligation	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	
Usage notes	This semantic unit could be implemented in several ways. It might be recorded explicitly in metadata as a sequence number or as a pointer. It might be implicit in some other ordering of objects, for example, incrementing identifier values. The value of relationshipSubType might imply the sequence (e.g., "is preceding sibling," "is following sibling"). There is no requirement that sequence numbers must be unique or			
	unordered Web pages	ave no inherent sequenc making up a Web site. In e "dummy" sequence nu	this case all related	

Semantic unit	relatedEventIdentification			
Semantic components	relatedEventIdentifierType, relatedEventIdentifierValue, relatedEventSequence			
Definition	The identifier and cont the relationship.	The identifier and contextual sequence of an event associated with the relationship.		
Rationale	An object may be related to another object because of an event, for example, migration.			
Data constraint	Container			
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream	
Applicability	Applicable Applicable Applicable			
Repeatability	Repeatable Repeatable Repeatable			
Obligation	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	

Semantic unit	relatedEventIdentifierType				
Semantic components	None				
Definition	The eventIdentifierTyp	be of the related event.			
Data constraint	Must be an existing ev	entIdentifierType value.			
Object category	Representation File Bitstream				
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable		
Examples	[see examples for eventIdentifierType] [see examples for eventIdentifierType] [see examples for eventIdentifierType]				
Repeatability	Not repeatable	Not repeatable Not repeatable Not repeatable			
Obligation	Mandatory Mandatory Mandatory				
Usage notes	For most preservation repositories, the eventIdentifierType will simply be their own internal numbering system. It can be implicit within the system and provided explicitly only if the data is exported.				

Semantic unit	relatedEventIdentifierValue			
Semantic components	None			
Definition	The eventIdentifierVal	The eventIdentifierValue of the related event.		
Data constraint	Must be an existing eventIdentifierValue value.			
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream	
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable	
Examples	[see examples for eventIdentifierValue] [see examples for eventIdentifierValue] [see examples for eventIdentifierValue]			
Repeatability	Not repeatable Not repeatable Not repeatable			
Obligation	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	

Semantic unit	relatedEventSequence			
Semantic components	None			
Definition	The order of the related	d event.		
Data constraint	None			
Object category	Representation File Bitstream			
Applicability	Applicable Applicable Applicable			
Examples	1			
	2			
		3		
Repeatability	Not repeatable Not repeatable Not repeatable			
Obligation	Optional Optional Optional			
Usage notes	The sequence of a related event can be inferred from the eventDateTime associated with the related event.			

Semantic unit	linkingEventIdentifier			
Semantic components	linkingEventIdentifierType, linkingEventIdentifierValue			
Definition	The eventIdentifier of	an event associated with	the object.	
Data constraint	Container			
Object category	Representation	Representation File Bitstream		
Applicability	Applicable Applicable Applicable			
Repeatability	Repeatable Repeatable Repeatable			
Obligation	Optional Optional Optional			
Usage notes	Use to link to events that are not associated with relationships between objects, such as format validation, virus checking, etc.			

Semantic unit	linkingEventIdentifierType				
Semantic components	None				
Definition	The eventIdentifierTyp	pe value of the related ev	ent.		
Data constraint	Must be an existing ev	entIdentifierType value.			
Object category	Representation File Bitstream				
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable		
Examples	[see examples for eventIdentifierType] [see examples for eventIdentifierType] [see examples for eventIdentifierType]				
Repeatability	Not repeatable	Not repeatable Not repeatable Not repeatable			
Obligation	Mandatory Mandatory Mandatory				
Usage notes	For most preservation repositories, the eventIdentifierType will simply be their own internal numbering system. It can be implicit within the system and provided explicitly only if the data is exported.				

Semantic unit	linkingEventIdentifierValue			
Semantic components	None			
Definition	The eventIdentifierVal	ue value of the related e	vent.	
Data constraint	Must be an existing eventIdentifierValue value.			
Object category	Representation	Representation File Bitstream		
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable	
Examples	[see examples for eventIdentifierValue] [see examples for eventIdentifierValue] [see examples for eventIdentifierValue]			
Repeatability	Not repeatable Not repeatable Not repeatable			
Obligation	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	

Semantic unit	linkingIntellectualEntityIdentifier			
Semantic components	linkingIntellectualEntityIdentifierType, linkingIntellectualEntityIdentifierValue			
Definition	An identifier for an Int	ellectual Entity associate	ed with the object.	
Data constraint	Container			
Object category	Representation File Bitstream			
Applicability	Applicable Applicable Applicable			
Repeatability	Repeatable	Repeatable	Repeatable	
Obligation	Optional Optional Optional			
Usage notes	Use to link to an Intellectual Entity that is related to the object. This may be a link to descriptive metadata that describes the Intellectual Entity or some other surrogate for it that can be referenced. This link will likely be to an identifier of an object that is at a higher conceptual level than the object for which the metadata is provided, for example, to a collection or parent object.			

Semantic unit	linkingIntellectualEntityIdentifierType				
Semantic components	None				
Definition	A designation of the domain within which the linking intellectual entity identifier is unique.				
Data constraint	Value should be taken from a controlled vocabulary.				
Object category	Representation	Representation File Bitstream			
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable Applicable Applicable			
Examples		URI			
	LCCN				
Repeatability	Not repeatable	Not repeatable	Not repeatable		
Obligation	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory		

Semantic unit	linkingIntellectualEntityIdentifierValue			
Semantic components	None			
Definition	The value of the linkin	The value of the linkingIntellectualEntityIdentifier.		
Data constraint	None			
Object category	Representation	Representation File Bitstream		
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable Applicable Applicable		
Examples	hdl:loc.natlib/mrva00 02.0495 info:lccn/19018302			
Repeatability	Not repeatable Not repeatable Not repeatable			
Obligation	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	

Semantic unit	linkingPermissionStatementIdentifier		
Semantic components	linkingPermissionStatementIdentifierType, linkingPermissionStatementIdentifierValue		
Definition	An identifier for a perr	mission statement associ	ated with the object.
Rationale	A repository may choose to link from a permission statement to an object or from an object to a permission statement or both.		
Data constraint	Container		
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream
Applicability	Applicable Applicable Applicable		
Repeatability	Repeatable Repeatable Repeatable		
Obligation	Optional	Optional	Optional

Semantic unit	linkingPermissionStatementIdentifierType				
Semantic components	None				
Definition	A designation of the domain within which the linkingPermission StatementIdentifier is unique.				
Data constraint	Value should be taken from a controlled vocabulary.				
Object category	Representation	Representation File Bitstream			
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable		
Examples	URI				
	LCCN				
Repeatability	Not repeatable	Not repeatable	Not repeatable		
Obligation	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory		

Semantic unit	linkingPermissionSta	atementIdentifierValue	
Semantic components	None		
Definition	The value of the linkin	gPermissionStatementId	entifier.
Data constraint	None		
Object category	Representation	File	Bitstream
Applicability	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable
Repeatability	Not repeatable	Not repeatable	Not repeatable
Obligation	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory

Event Entity

The Event entity aggregates information about an action that involves one or more Object entities. Metadata about an Event would normally be recorded and stored separately from the digital object.

Whether or not a preservation repository records an Event depends upon the importance of the event. Actions that modify objects should always be recorded. Other actions such as copying an object for backup purposes may be recorded in system logs or an audit trail but not necessarily in an Event entity.

Mandatory semantic units are: eventIdentifier, eventType, eventDateTime.

Entity properties

- Must be related to one or more objects.
- Can be related to one or more agents.

Entity semantic units

- eventIdentifier
 - eventIdentifierType
 - eventIdentifierValue
- eventType
- eventDateTime
- eventDetail
- eventOutcomeInformation
 - eventOutcome
 - eventOutcomeDetail
- linkingAgentIdentifier
 - linkingAgentIdentifierType
 - linkingAgentIdentifierValue
 - linkingAgentRole
- linkingObjectIdentifier
 - linkingObjectIdentifierType
 - linkingObjectIdentifierValue

Semantic unit	eventldentifier
Semantic components	eventIdentifierType, eventIdentifierValue
Definition	A designation used to uniquely identify the event within the preservation repository system.
Rationale	Each event recorded by the preservation archive must have a unique identifier to allow it to be related to objects, agents, and other events.
Data constraint	Container
Repeatability	Not repeatable
Obligation	Mandatory
Creation/ Maintenance notes	The eventIdentifier is likely to be system generated. There is no global scheme or standard for event identifiers.

Semantic unit	eventIdentifierType
Semantic components	None
Definition	A designation of the domain within which the event identifier is unique.
Data constraint	None
Examples	FDA
	Stanford Repository Event ID
	UUID
Repeatability	Not repeatable
Obligation	Mandatory
Creation/ Maintenance notes	For most preservation repositories, the eventIdentifierType will be their own internal numbering system. It can be implicit within the system and provided explicitly only if the data is exported.

Semantic unit	eventIdentifierValue
Semantic components	None
Definition	The value of the eventIdentifier.
Data constraint	None
Examples	[a binary integer]
	E-2004-11-13-000119 58f202ac-22cf-11d1-b12d-002035b29092
Repeatability	Not repeatable
Obligation	Mandatory

Semantic unit	eventType
Semantic components	None
Definition	A categorization of the nature of the event.
Rationale	Categorizing events will aid the preservation repository in machine processing of event information, particularly in reporting.
Data constraint	Value should be taken from a controlled vocabulary.
Examples	E77 [a code used within a repository for a particular event type] Ingest
Repeatability	Not repeatable
Obligation	Mandatory
Usage notes	Each repository should define its own controlled vocabulary of eventType values. A suggested starter list for consideration (see also the Glossary for more detailed definitions):
	capture = the process whereby a repository actively obtains an object
	compression = the process of coding data to save storage space or transmission time
	deaccession = the process of removing an object from the inventory of a repository
	decompression = the process of reversing the effects of compression
	decryption = the process of converting encrypted data to plaintext
	deletion = the process of removing an object from repository storage
	digital signature validation = the process of determining that a decrypted digital signature matches an expected value
	dissemination = the process of retrieving an object from repository storage and making it available to users
	fixity check = the process of verifying that an object has not been changed in a given period
	ingestion = the process of adding objects to a preservation repository
	message digest calculation = the process by which a message digest ("hash") is created
	migration = a transformation of an object creating a version in a more contemporary format
	normalization = a transformation of an object creating a version more conducive to preservation
	replication = the process of creating a copy of an object that is, bit-

wise, identical to the original
validation = the process of comparing an object with a standard and noting compliance or exceptions
virus check = the process of scanning a file for malicious programs
The level of specificity in recording the type of event (e.g., whether the eventType indicates a transformation, a migration or a particular method of migration) is implementation specific and will depend upon how reporting and processing is done. Recommended practice is to record detailed information about the event itself in eventDetail rather than using a very granular value for eventType.

Semantic unit	eventDateTime
Semantic components	None
Definition	The single date and time, or date and time range, at or during which the event occurred.
Data constraint	Any date/time convention may be used, as long as it is consistent and can be translated into ISO 8601 for export if necessary.
Examples	20050704T071530-0500 [July 4, 2005 at 7:15:30 a.m. EST]
	2006-07-16T19:20:30+01:00
	20050705T0715-0500/20050705T0720-0500 [from 7:15 a.m. EST to 7:20 a.m. EST on July 4, 2005]
	2004-03-17 [March 17, 2004, only the date is known]
Repeatability	Not repeatable
Obligation	Mandatory
Usage notes	Recommended practice is to record the most specific time possible and to designate the time zone.

Semantic unit	eventDetail
Semantic components	None
Definition	Additional information about the event.
Data constraint	None
Examples	Object permanently withdrawn by request of Caroline Hunt. Program="MIGJP2JP2K";version="2.2"
Repeatability	Not repeatable
Obligation	Optional
Usage notes	eventDetail is not intended to be processed by machine. It may record any information about an event and/or point to information stored elsewhere.

Semantic unit	eventOutcomeInformation
Semantic components	eventOutcome, eventOutcomeDetail
Definition	Information about the outcome of an event.
Data constraint	Container
Repeatability	Repeatable
Obligation	Optional
Usage notes	A repository may wish to supplement a coded eventOutcome value with additional information in eventOutcomeDetail. Since events may have more than one outcome, the container is repeatable.

Semantic unit	eventOutcome
Semantic components	None
Definition	A categorization of the overall result of the event in terms of success, partial success, or failure.
Rationale	A coded way of representing the outcome of an event may be useful for machine processing and reporting. If, for example, a fixity check fails, the event record provides both an actionable and a permanent record.
Data constraint	Value should be taken from a controlled vocabulary.
Examples	00 [a code meaning "action successfully completed"]
	CV-01 [a code meaning "checksum validated"]
Repeatability	Not repeatable
Obligation	Optional
Usage notes	Recommended practice is to use controlled vocabulary that a system can act upon automatically. More detail about the outcome may be recorded in eventOutcomeDetail. Recommended practice is to define events with sufficient granularity
	that each event has a single outcome.

Semantic unit	eventOutcomeDetail
Semantic components	None
Definition	A non-coded detailed description of the result or product of the event.
Rationale	An event outcome may be sufficiently complex that a coded description is not adequate to document it.
Data constraint	None
Examples	LZW compressed file
	Non-standard tags found in header
Repeatability	Not repeatable
Obligation	Optional
Usage notes	This may be used to record all error and warning messages issued by a program involved in the event or to record a pointer to an error log. If the event was a validity check (e.g., profile conformance) any anomalies or quirks discovered would be recorded here.

Semantic unit	linkingAgentIdentifier
Semantic components	linkingAgentIdentifierType, linkingAgentIdentifierValue, linkingAgentRole
Definition	Information about an agent associated with an event.
Rationale	Digital provenance requires often that relationships between agents and events are documented.
Data constraint	Container
Repeatability	Repeatable
Obligation	Optional
Usage notes	Recommended practice is to record the agent if possible.

Semantic unit	linkingAgentIdentifierType
Semantic components	None
Definition	A designation of the domain in which the linking agent identifier is unique.
Data constraint	Value should be taken from a controlled vocabulary.
Examples	[see examples for agentIdentifierType]
Repeatability	Not repeatable
Obligation	Mandatory

Semantic unit	linkingAgentIdentifierValue
Semantic components	None
Definition	The value of the linking agent identifier.
Data constraint	None
Examples	[see examples for agentIdentifierValue]
Repeatability	Not repeatable
Obligation	Mandatory

Semantic unit	linkingAgentRole
Semantic components	None
Definition	The role of the agent in relation to this event.
Rationale	Events can have more than one agent associated with them. The role of each agent may need to be documented.
Data constraint	Values should be taken from a controlled vocabulary.
Examples	Authorizer
	Implementer
	Validator
	Executing program
Repeatability	Repeatable
Obligation	Optional

Semantic unit	linkingObjectIdentifier
Semantic components	linkingObjectIdentifierType, linkingObjectIdentifierValue
Definition	Information about an object associated with an event.
Rationale	Digital provenance often requires that relationships between objects and events are documented.
Data constraint	Container
Repeatability	Repeatable
Obligation	Optional

Semantic unit	linkingObjectIdentifierType
Semantic components	None
Definition	A designation of the domain in which the linking object identifier is unique.
Data constraint	Value should be taken from a controlled vocabulary.
Examples	[see examples for objectIdentifierType]
Repeatability	Not repeatable
Obligation	Mandatory

Semantic unit	linkingObjectIdentifierValue
Semantic components	None
Definition	The value of the linking object identifier.
Data constraint	None
Examples	[see examples for objectIdentifierValue]
Repeatability	Not repeatable
Obligation	Mandatory

Agent Entity

The Agent entity aggregates information about attributes or characteristics of agents (persons, organizations, or software) associated with rights management and preservation events in the life of a data object. Agent information serves to identify an agent unambiguously from all other Agent entities.

The only mandatory semantic unit is agentIdentifier.

Entity properties

- May hold or grant one or more rights.
- May carry out, authorize, or compel one or more events.
- May create or act upon one or more objects.

Entity semantic units

- agentIdentifier
 - agentIdentifierType
 - agentIdentifierValue
- agentName
- agentType

Semantic unit	agentIdentifier
Semantic components	agentIdentifierType, agentIdentifierValue
Definition	The designation used to uniquely identify the agent within a preservation repository system.
Rationale	Each agent associated with the preservation repository must have a unique identifier to allow it to be related to events and permission statements.
Data constraint	Container
Repeatability	Repeatable
Obligation	Mandatory

Semantic unit	agentIdentifierType
Semantic components	None
Definition	A designation of the domain in which the agent identifier is unique.
Data constraint	Value should be taken from a controlled vocabulary.
Examples	LCNAF
	SAN
	MARC Organization Codes
	URI
Repeatability	Not repeatable
Obligation	Mandatory

Semantic unit	agentIdentifierValue
Semantic components	None
Definition	The value of the agentIdentifier.
Data constraint	None
Examples	92-79971
	Owens, Erik C.
	234-5676
	MH-CS
	info:lccn/n78890351
Repeatability	Not repeatable
Obligation	Mandatory
Usage notes	May be a unique key or a controlled textual form of name.

Semantic unit	agentName
Semantic components	None
Definition	A text string which could be used in addition to agentIdentifer to identify an agent.
Rationale	This semantic unit provides a more reader-friendly version of the agent identified by the agentIdentifier.
Data constraint	None
Examples	Erik Owens
	Woodyard
	Pc
Repeatability	Repeatable
Obligation	Optional
Usage notes	The value is not necessarily unique.

Semantic unit	agentType
Semantic components	None
Definition	A high-level characterization of the type of agent.
Data constraint	Value should be taken from a controlled vocabulary.
Repeatability	Not repeatable
Obligation	Optional
Usage notes	Suggested values:
	person
	organization
	software

Rights Entity

For the purpose of the PREMIS Data Dictionary, statements of rights and permissions are taken to be constructs that can be described as the Rights entity. Rights are entitlements allowed to agents by copyright or other intellectual property law. Permissions are powers or privileges granted by agreement between a rightsholder and another party or parties.

A repository might wish to record a variety of rights information including abstract rights statements and statements of permissions that apply to external agents and to objects not held within the repository. The minimum core rights information that a preservation repository must know, however, is what permissions have been granted to the repository itself to carry out actions related to objects within the repository.

If the repository records rights information, the *permissionStatementIdentifier*, *linkingObject*, and *permissionGranted* are mandatory.

Entity properties

- Must be related to one or more objects.
- Must be related to one or more agents.

Entity semantic units

- permissionStatement
 - permissionStatementIdentifier
 - permissionStatementIdentifierType
 - permissionStatementIdentifierValue
 - linkingObject
 - grantingAgent
 - grantingAgreement
 - grantingAgreementIdentification
 - grantingAgreementInformation
 - permissionGranted
 - act
 - restriction
 - termOfGrant
 - startDate
 - endDate
 - permissionNote

Semantic unit	permissionStatement
Semantic components	permissionStatementIdentifier, linkingObject, grantingAgent, grantingAgreement, permissionGranted
Definition	An agreement with a rightsholder that allows a repository to take action(s) related to objects in the repository.
Data constraint	Container
Repeatability	Repeatable
Obligation	Optional
Usage notes	If repository wants to control what actions can be taken on an object-by-object basis, it will want to record these. Some archives might have an institution-wide policy.
	The unit is optional because institutions may have other means to give a permission statement.

Semantic unit	permissionStatementIdentifier
Semantic components	permissionStatementIdentifierType, permissionStatementIdentifierValue
Definition	A designation used to identify the permission statement uniquely within the preservation repository system.
Data constraint	Container
Repeatability	Not repeatable
Obligation	Mandatory

Semantic unit	permissionStatementIdentifierType
Semantic components	None
Definition	A designation of the domain within which the permission identifier is unique.
Data constraint	Value should be taken from a controlled vocabulary.
Repeatability	Not repeatable
Obligation	Mandatory

Semantic unit	permissionStatementIdentifierValue
Semantic components	None
Definition	The value of the permissionStatementIdentifier.
Data constraint	None
Repeatability	Not repeatable
Obligation	Mandatory

Semantic unit	linkingObject
Semantic components	None
Definition	An identifying designation for the object or objects to which the permission pertains.
Data constraint	None
Examples	iu2440
	application/pdf
	all
	000000312
Repeatability	Repeatable
Obligation	Mandatory
Usage notes	This could be the objectIdentifierValue of a specific object, or an identifying designation for a class of objects, such as all objects of a particular type, or owned by a particular agent. The linking object may be a representation file or hitstream.
	The linking object may be a representation, file, or bitstream.

Semantic unit	grantingAgent
Semantic components	None
Definition	An identifying designation for the agent or agents granting the permission.
Data constraint	None
Repeatability	Repeatable
Obligation	Optional
Usage notes	If the agent granting the permission is described as an entity within the repository system, this designation should be the agentIdentifier of the agent.

Semantic unit	grantingAgreement
Semantic components	grantingAgreementIdentification, grantingAgreementInformation
Definition	The agreement by which the permission was granted.
Data constraint	Container
Repeatability	Not repeatable
Obligation	Optional
Usage notes	This semantic unit is intended to refer to a document recording the granting of permission. For some repositories this may be a formal signed contract with a customer. In other cases this may be e-mail or other informal communication. If the granting agreement is verbal, this could point to a memo by the repository documenting the verbal agreement.

Semantic unit	grantingAgreementIdentification
Semantic components	None
Definition	An identifying designation for an agreement by which the permission was granted.
Data constraint	None
Repeatability	Not repeatable
Obligation	Optional
Usage notes	This semantic unit should be the means by which the repository uniquely identifies the granting agreement. It may be a formal identifier with type and value or a more informal designation.

Semantic unit	grantingAgreementInformation
Semantic components	None
Definition	Text describing the agreement by which the permission was granted.
Data constraint	None
Repeatability	Not repeatable
Obligation	Optional
Usage notes	This could contain the actual text of the agreement, a paraphrase, or other information describing the agreement or its content.

Semantic unit	permissionGranted
Semantic components	act, restriction, termOfGrant, permissionNote
Definition	The action(s) that the grantingAgency has allowed the repository.
Data constraint	Container
Repeatability	Repeatable
Obligation	Mandatory

Semantic unit	act
Semantic components	None
Definition	The action the preservation repository is allowed to take.
Data constraint	Value should be taken from a controlled vocabulary.
Repeatability	Not repeatable
Obligation	Mandatory
Usage notes	Suggested values: replicate = make an exact copy migrate = make a copy identical in content in a different file format modify = make a version different in content use = read without copying or modifying (e.g., to validate a file or run a program) disseminate = create a DIP for use outside of the preservation repository delete = remove from the repository It is up to the preservation repository to decide how granular the controlled vocabulary should be. It may be useful to employ the same controlled values that the repository uses for eventType.

Semantic unit	restriction
Semantic components	None
Definition	A condition or limitation on the act.
Data constraint	None
Examples	No more than three
	Allowed only after one year of archival retention has elapsed
	Rightsholder must be notified after completion of act
Repeatability	Repeatable
Obligation	Optional

Semantic unit	termOfGrant
Semantic components	startDate, endDate
Definition	The time period for the permissions granted.
Rationale	The permission to preserve may be time bounded.
Data constraint	Container
Repeatability	Not repeatable
Obligation	Mandatory

Semantic unit	startDate
Semantic components	None
Definition	The beginning date of the permission granted.
Data constraint	Value should be formatted according to ISO 8601.
Examples	2006-01-02
	20050723
Repeatability	Not repeatable
Obligation	Mandatory

Semantic unit	endDate
Semantic components	None
Definition	The ending date of the permission granted.
Data constraint	Value should be formatted according to ISO 8601.
Examples	2010-01-02
	20120723
Repeatability	Not repeatable
Obligation	Mandatory

Semantic unit	permissionNote
Semantic components	None
Definition	Additional information about the permissions.
Rationale	A textual description of the permissions may be needed for additional explanation.
Data constraint	None
Repeatability	Repeatable
Obligation	Optional
Usage notes	This semantic unit may include a statement about risk assessment, for example, when a repository is not certain about what permissions have been granted.