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Voter Perceptions of Libraries Getting from Awareness to Funding 2018

## Session presenters



Larra Clark
Deputy Director, ALA Office for Information Technology Policy and the Public Library Association

\#awareness2funding

## Session agenda

$\qquad$

- Key findings and comparison to 2008 $\qquad$
- US voters overall - Sharon
- Sample voter market segments - Larra
- Context and reflections - Vailey and Marci
- Discussion



How familiar are you with the 2008 From Awareness to Funding study?
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How familiar are you with the 2008
From Awareness to Funding study?

| Never | I'm aware |
| :--- | :--- |
| heard of it. | of it. |

heard of it. of it.

| I'm quite | It shaped my |
| :--- | :--- |
| familiar | advocacy |
| with it. | strategy. |

Who is in the room?


Size of library service population

## Key findings and comparison to 2008
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Six drivers of library support.

1. Attitudes toward the library
2. Use of library services
3. Perceptions of library staff
4. Likelihood of voting for library funding
5. General voting behavior
6. Barriers to using or supporting the library

## A majority of voters value public

 libraries.- An essential local institution: 55\%
- A source of community pride: 53\%
- Advances education: 58\%
- Enhances quality of life: 51\%



## But even more did a decade ago.

- An essential local institution: 55\% vs. 71\%
- A source of community pride: 53\% vs. 73\%
- Advances education: 58\%
- Enhances quality of life: $51 \%$



## For most voters, libraries remain

 relevant in the Internet age.- Internet is equivalent to libraries: 27\%
- Book retailers are easier: 19\%
- Libraries are unnecessary: 13\%
- Internet makes libraries obsolete: 24\% vs. 14\%


Two-third of voters rate seven
library offerings as very important.

- Quiet areas
- Free access to books, technology
- Convenient to get to
- Free access to computers, Internet
- Broad range of materials
- Convenient hours
- Wi-Fi access



## But in 2008, more voters rated more offerings as important.

- Free access to books, technology
- Convenient hours
- Quiet areas
- Free access to computers, Internet
- Excellent homework help
- Right staff to meet community needs
- Large facility to meet needs
- Offer something for all ages, genders, ethnicities, religions
- Support adult literacy


A majority of voters give high
ratings to their local library on
most-valued offerings.

- Quiet areas: 60\%
- Free access to books and technology: 61\%
- Convenient to get to: 62\%
- Free access to computers, Internet: 61\%
- Broad range of materials: 58\%
- Convenient hours: 53\%
- Wi-fi access: 60\%


## With some change in ratings

 compared to 2008.- Quiet areas: 60\% vs. 67\%
- Free access to books, technology: 61\% vs. 70\%
- Convenient to get to: 62\%
- Free access to computers, Internet: 61\% vs. 68\%
- Broad range of materials: 58\%
- Convenient hours: $\mathbf{5 3} \%$ vs. $\mathbf{5 7 \%}$
- Wi-fi access: 60\% vs. 36\%

More voters today say it's important for library to be a community hub.

- Offers activities and entertainment you can't find anywhere else: $\mathbf{4 8 \%}$ vs. $\mathbf{3 8 \%}$
- Be a place for people to gather and socialize:


## 45\% vs. 36\%

- Support civic discourse and community building: 47\%

And more voters see their local library as a hub today.

- Offers activities and entertainment you can't find anywhere else: $43 \%$ vs. $34 \%$
- A place for people to gather and socialize: 44\% vs. $35 \%$
- Supports civic discourse and community building: 40\%
- A frequent social hub: 30\% vs. 18\%

More voters see their library as a resource for job skills, immigrants.

- Helps provide people with skills for the workplace: 42\% vs. $35 \%$
- Provides services for immigrants and non-

English speakers: 35\% vs. 25\%


But fewer voters today see the library's role in children's lives.

- Excellent for homework help: 51\% vs. 71\%
- Excellent preparation for school: 44\% vs. $53 \%$
- Not as important in kids' lives: 36\% vs. 24\%



## Majority of voters rate these library

 staff qualities as very important:- Friendly and approachable: 69\%
- Expert connector to information and resources: 60\%
- Teaches how to find trustworthy information: 57\%
- Makes library a place for fun and creativity: 57\%
- True advocate for lifelong learning: 56\%
- Knows what books children would love: 56\%



## But fewer see those qualities as

being strong at their local library.

- Friendly and approachable: 53\%
- Expert connector to information and resources: 45\%
- Teaches how to find trustworthy information: 43\%
- Makes library a place for fun and creativity: 46\%
- Lifelong learning advocate: 46\%
- Knows what books children would love: 45\%



## Ratings on some staff qualities

 have declined since 2008.- Friendly, approachable: $53 \%$ vs. $67 \%$
- Lifelong learning advocate: 46\% vs. 56\%
- Community knowledge: 42\% vs. 54\%
- Knows how to address community needs: 42\% vs. 48\%
- Excellent computer skills: 42\% vs. 50\%
- Well known in community: 31\% vs. $40 \%$


Voters frequently visit libraries in person and online.


But more did in 2008.


Some common services are now used less often.

- Nonfiction books: 53\% vs. 67\%
- Adult fiction, bestsellers: 54\% vs. $\mathbf{6 3 \%}$
- DVDs for adults: 45\% vs. 51\%
- Print reference material: 34\% vs. 51\%
- Computer searching: $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ vs. $47 \%$
- Photocopying: 39\% vs. 48\%


While others services are used more often now.

- Community meeting rooms: $37 \%$ vs. $27 \%$
- Non-English books and materials: 30\% vs. $21 \%$
- Home schooling resource: 23\% vs. 18\%


Majority of voters say they would vote in favor of local library funding.

2018

```
31% 27%
```

-Would probably vote in favor

- Would definitely vote in favor

But fewer voters are committed to library support than in 2008.


Many voters are confused about the primary source of library funding.


In reality,
86\%
of funding comes from local sources (IMLS, 2015)
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

A majority of voters are willing to donate money to libraries.
$61 \%$ nave etherer
$76 \%$ say the federal government . should either...


Think about...

How do theses national results compare with local attitudes and behavior in your community?

## Library Support Segments

## \#awareness2funding



Library Support Segmentation Pyramid
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How familiar are you with market segmentation?

Segment comparison 2008 vs. 2018

[^0]Library Support Segment Comparison 2008 and 2018


Super Supporters


## Super Supporters

- A source of community pride: $\mathbf{8 8 \%}$
- Helps people find trustworthy information: 83\%
- Raises property values: 80\%
- Stimulates community growth, development: 77\%
- Community hub: $\mathbf{4 6 \%}$ vs. $34 \%$



## Super Supporters

- Internet provides all one could find in the library: $8 \%$ vs. 16\%
- Search engines just as good: $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ vs $\mathbf{2 2 \%}$
- Average 15.9 visits over 1 year
- Willing to pay more in local taxes: 87\% $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


## Super Supporters

\% who would vote favorably for library referendum


## Probable Supporters



## Probable Supporters: Greater Good

- Free access to broad range of knowledge, technology: 76\%
- Offer quiet work areas: 74\%
- Excellent educational resource: 73\%
- Invaluable resource in Internet age: 67\%
- A resource we cannot live without: $60 \%$
- Place to gain workforce skills: 56\%
- Community gathering place: 55\%
- Resource for small businesses: 53\%



## Probable Supporters: Greater Good

- Would definitely vote for library referendum: 44\%
- Would agree to pay more in local taxes toward library funding: 38\%
- Donated to fundraising groups: 40\%


## 血

## Probable Supporters: Look to Librarians

- Overall high impression of librarians: 76\%
- Overall high ratings of public libraries: 79\%
- Avg. visits in 1 year declined from 24.5 to 13.8
- Something essential would be lost if library shut down: 73\% vs. 92\%
- Committed to definite vote for library funding: 26\% vs. $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$



## Probable Supporters: Library as Office

- Avg. visits to the library in 1 year: $\mathbf{2 6 . 4} \mathbf{~ v s . ~} \mathbf{1 8 . 0}$ $\qquad$
- Avg. website visits in 1 year: 20.7 avg.
- Committed to definite vote for library funding: 45\%



## Probable Supporters: Kid Driven

- Excellent preparing kids for school: 51\% vs. $\mathbf{6 7 \%}$
- Excellent for homework help: 63\% vs. 80\%
- Not as important in children's lives: 29\% vs. 14\%
- Avg. visits in 1 year: $\mathbf{1 4 . 0}$ vs 18.0 avg.



## Probable Supporters: Kid Driven

- Be community gathering place: 58\% vs. 47\%
- Offers activities and entertainment can't find anywhere else: 53\% vs. 47\%
- Knowledgeable about community: 48\% vs. 69\%
- Work closely with local leaders: $\mathbf{3 3 \%}$ vs. $50 \%$



## Probable Supporters:

 Kid Driven- Definitely vote for library funding: 30\% vs $\mathbf{4 8 \%}$
- Probably vote for library funding: 43\% vs. 39\%



## Barriers to Support



## Barriers to Support: The Web Wins

- Use of non-English books: 42\% vs. 34\%
- Job-seeking support: 35\% vs. 23\%
- Internet is as good as the library: 50\% vs. 63\%
- Invaluable resource in internet age: 28\%
- Probably vote for library funding: 43\% vs. 39\%


Think about...
Which voter support segment(s) should you focus on in your community, and why?

## Context and Reflections

\#awareness2funding
4 $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

What has shifted

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ over the past decade? $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


Attitudes toward public services
funding

Should be one of the first things cut in a budget crisis
(\% Top 3 Box Agreement: 8, 9, or 10 on a 10 pt. scale) $\qquad$
$\qquad$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
\#awareness2funding
$\square$

For your
community:
Support Segments

Which local support segments should you focus on, and why?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

| Super Supporters | Greater Good | Look to Librarians |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Library as Office | Kid Driven | Just For Fun |
| The Web Wins | Financially <br> Strapped | Detached |

Attitudes \& Behaviors

How do the national results compare with local attitudes and behavior?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

How do national results compare with local attitudes and behavior?

|  | Technological | Economic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For your community: |  |  |
| Impact of Societal Shifts | Demographic | Political |

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Societal shifts with greatest local impact?

Keep the discussion going...

- Complete the post-webinar survey
- Explore the full research at oc.Ic/awareness2018
- Join new Facebook group on this topic: www.facebook.com/groups/FATF2018/ $\qquad$
- Tweet links and thoughts: \#awareness2funding
- Contact us with ideas, requests


## Our contact information

- Marci: mmerola@ala.org
- Sharon: streamss@oclc.org • @thinktower
- Larra: Iclark@ala.org
- Vailey: vaileyo@multcolib.org • @MultCoLib_Dir

Thank you!



[^0]:    Super Supporters Probable Supporters
    Barriers to Support
    Chronic Non-Voters

